



Staff: DR.NIJU P

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Users Completed: 26 Overall Score: 88.94

Users Completed: 26 Overall Score: 88						e: 88.94
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
l	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	12	46.15%	213
		Good	14.13	12	46.15%	169.5
		Fair	10.28	2	7.69%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	46.15%	174
	2. Clarity and Charlemanny of venerors Emplantations	Good	11.81	12	46.15%	141.7
		Fair	8.11	2	7.69%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	69.23%	135
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	8	30.77%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	20	76.92%	117
	4. Approximate 76 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	23.08%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	20	76.92%	80
		Yes	0.67	4	15.38%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	7.69%	3.3
2	1 T1	Excellent	9.25	19	73.08%	175.7
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	6	23.08%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	3.85%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	20	76.92%	95
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	11.54%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	11.54%	4.2
		Yes	6.88	20	76.92%	137.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	19.23%	19.45
		No	0.74	1	3.85%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0.74
		Pleasant	6.75	18	69.23%	121.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	23.08%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	3.85%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	3.85%	1.94
	5.01 1.01 1.1	Sincere	11.50	26	100%	299
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	<u> </u>	Excellent	11.25	15	57.69%	168.7
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	38.46%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	10	3.85%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0.40
						+
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- perfect explanations everyone can follow
- best at teaching

Special Remarks

• knowledge

Head of The Department





Staff: RESHMI KRISHNAN R

Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B

Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 29** Overall Score: 86.82

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	16	55.17%	284
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	12	41.38%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	3.45%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	58.62%	246.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	34.48%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	2	6.9%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	17	58.62%	127.5
	5. Tedeners winningness to Help	Good	5.71	9	31.03%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	2	6.9%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	3.45%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	22	75.86%	128.7
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	3.45%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	20.69%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	25	86.21%	100
		Yes	0.67	3	10.34%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	3.45%	1.65
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	48.28%	129.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	51.72%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	26	89.66%	123.5
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	10.34%	4.2
	2 D 4 4 1	Yes	6.88	21	72.41%	144.48
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	24.14%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	3.45%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 D 1 - ' - Cd - 4 - 1 -	Pleasant	6.75	19	65.52%	128.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	17.24%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	3.45%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	13.79%	7.76
	5.01 14.04 1	Sincere	11.50	23	79.31%	264.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	3.45%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	13.79%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	1	3.45%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	15	51.72%	168.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	34.48%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	3	10.34%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	3.45%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

• Best teaching

Special Remarks

- 10/10
- explaining skill

Head of The Department



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DR.ARUN M.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 25** Overall Score: 95.33

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	23	92%	408.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	2	8%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	84%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	16%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	20	80%	150
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	5	20%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	21	84%	122.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	16%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	21	84%	84
		Yes	0.67	3	12%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	4%	1.65
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	21	84%	194.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	16%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	25	100%	118.75
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	17	68%	116.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	28%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	4%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	24	96%	162
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	4%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	24	96%	276
	3. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	4%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	20	80%	225
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	5	20%	45.15
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

10/10



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: SREEKUTTY S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission

Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023

Users Completed: 23

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 87.5

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	60.87%	248.5
	1. Subject Kilowieuge	Good	14.13	7	30.43%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	2	8.7%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	47.83%	159.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	34.78%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	4	17.39%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	17	73.91%	127.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	3	13.04%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	2	8.7%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	4.35%	2.21
	A Ammoviment 0/ of alasses NOT amount day the too shows	Less than 10	5.85	18	78.26%	105.3
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	4.35%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	17.39%	6.56
	5 Wi d - d - a - 1 - 1 a - 1 - 1	No	4.00	18	78.26%	72
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	13.04%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	8.7%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	56.52%	120.25
_		Satisfactory	6.34	8	34.78%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	1	4.35%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	4.35%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	16	69.57%	76
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	4.35%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	26.09%	8.4
		Yes	6.88	16	69.57%	110.08
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	21.74%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	8.7%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	20	86.96%	135
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	20	8.7%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	4.35%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	21	91.3%	241.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	4.35%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	4.35%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	13	56.52%	146.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7		63.21
		Fair		2	30.43%	
			6.46		8.7%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	1	4.35%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

10/10





Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B

Staff: NADIYA S NAZAR

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 27** Overall Score: 90.32

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	18	66.67%	319.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6	22.22%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	3	11.11%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	62.96%	246.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	29.63%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	2	7.41%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	66.67%	135
	3. Teachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	6	22.22%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	3	11.11%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	20	74.07%	117
	4. Approximate /0 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	7.41%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	18.52%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	20	74.07%	80
	3. Whether the teachers dietates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	18.52%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	7.41%	3.3
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	18	66.67%	166.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	33.33%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	23	85.19%	109.25
	2. speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	14.81%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	21	77.78%	144.48
	5. Bots the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	18.52%	19.45
		No	0.74	1	3.7%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	21	77.78%	141.75
		Indifferent	2.78	3	11.11%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	11.11%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	27	100%	310.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	17	62.96%	191.25
	o. o. crain reaching errora reness	Good	9.03	8	29.63%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	2	7.41%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

10/10





Staff: SALIM PAUL

Subject : EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: M1-B-2022-Admission Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K22 B Session Id: TE-SN1808-Feb-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 25** Overall Score: 83.01

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	16	64%	284
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5	20%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	4	16%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2 Clarita and Hadamatandina after the Europe Front and	Excellent	14.50	8	32%	116
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	40%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	6	24%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	4%	4.09
	2 T 1 W'II' . II 1	Excellent	7.50	14	56%	105
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	6	24%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	3	12%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	4%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	4%	2.21
		Less than 10	5.85	17	68%	99.45
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	12%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	20%	8.2
		No	4.00	17	68%	68
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	16%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	16%	6.6
,		Excellent	9.25	11	44%	101.75
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture					
		Satisfactory	6.34	11	44%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	1	4%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	8%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	18	72%	85.5
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	28%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	13	52%	89.44
		Some Times	3.89	6	24%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	8%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	16%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	22	88%	148.5
		Indifferent	2.78	1	4%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	4%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	4%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	20	80%	230
	7	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	20%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	12	48%	135
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	8	32%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	4	16%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	4%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

• Excellent sir

Special Remarks

- 10/10
- · pleasant class

Head of The Department